JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the number of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
LATASHA NESMITH				MCBEE ASSOCIATES, INC.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) David M. Koller, Esquire, Koller Law LLC, 2043 Locust Street, Su Philadelphia, PA 19103, 215-545-8917				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Chester (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	III. CI	L TIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box f	or Plaintif,
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only on of This State	y) PTF DEF	I Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		or Defende PTF 🗇 4	mt) DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant		uip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State		2 Incorporated and I of Business In a		□ 5	□ 5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	1 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						k here for: Nature			
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice □ VIVE-RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	1 62 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69	DEFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88 0 Other LABOR Deair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Deailway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Applicat Other Immigration Actions	422 App 423 Win 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	peal 28 USC 158 thdrawal USC 157 ERETYRIGHTS syrights ent ent - Abbreviated w Drug Application demark L SECURITY A (1395ff) ck Lung (923) WC/DIWW (405(g)) ID Title XVI	375 False C 376 Qui Tar 3729(a) 400 State Re 410 Antitrus 430 Banks a 450 Comme 460 Deporta 470 Rackete 480 Consum 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 890 Other S 891 Agricult 893 Environ 895 Freedom 895 Freedom 896 Arbitrat 899 Adminis Act/Rev	aims Act in (31 USC) apportion t ind Banking rec tion er Influenc Organizati er Credit at TV ess/Commo- ge autitory Ac ural Acts nontal Mat in of Inform con trative Pro- tiew or App Decision tionality of	ment g eed and ons dities/ ttions ters action
X 1 Original □ 2 Rer	noved from 3 te Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court atute under which you a vil Rights Act of 196	3 4 Reins Reop re filing (D 64, as an	ened Anot (specion on the first of the first	statutes unless a	6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer liversity): abilities Act of 19	-	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fil	n -
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		EMANDS		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		complair No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S})$ (See instructions):	JUDGE		· 	DOCK	ET NUMBER			
04/10/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	IOUNT	SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY O	FRECORD ///		MAC HID			

CIVIL ACTION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

McBee As	socialectre.	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant a the plaintiff and all other pa	se Management Track Designat e a copy on all defendants. (See event that a defendant does not shall, with its first appearance, s	eduction Plan of this court, counsion Form in all civil cases at the ti \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the reagree with the plaintiff regarding ubmit to the clerk of court and set Designation Form specifying the l.	me of everse g said ve on
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MANAGE	EMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241	through § 2255.	()
	requesting review of a decision on a decision of a decisio		()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated for arbitrat	ion under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involv exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal injury or	property damage from	()
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into tracks complex and that need special of ide of this form for a detailed ex	or intense management by	() /
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into any o	ne of the other tracks.	(())
4/10/2020	Docel	Plantiff	
Date '	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
45-545-8917	d13-5-15-0826	davoko Kolle	Nompiu
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	(av

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	2233 N. 22nd Street, Philadelphia				
Address of Defendant:	997 Old Eagle School Road, Way	rne, PA 19087			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	Wayne,	PA			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered	1 to any of the following questions:				
Is this case related to property included in an eapreviously terminated action in this court?	urlier numbered suit pending or within one year	Yes No V			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No No No No No No No No					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?					
Is this case a second or successive habeas corpu case filed by the same individual?	is, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No 🗸			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above. DATE: 04/10/2020	is / • is not related to any case now pending or which the state of th	within one year previously terminated action in 90119 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
C1VfL: (Place a √ in one category only)					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defana 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F 6. Other Personal I 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify):	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): tty tty — Asbestos sity Cases			
(The effect	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility.	for arbitration.)			
I,David M. Koller,	counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:				
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2 exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of Relief other than monetary damages is sou		amages recoverable in this civil action case			
DATE: 04/10/2020	Sign fore it policable Attorney at-Law / Pro Se Mantiff	90119 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there h	, , ,				

KOLLER LAW LLC

David M. Koller (90119) Sarah R. Lavelle (93383) 2043 Locust Street, Suite 1B Philadelphia, PA 19103 T: 215-545-8917 davidk@kollerlawfirm.com slavelle@kollerlawfirm.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LATASHA NESMITH, :

2233 N 22nd Street

Philadelphia, PA 19132 : Civil Action No.

Plaintiff, :

Complaint and Jury Demand

v.

MCBEE ASSOCIATES, INC.,

997 Old Eagle School Road Wayne, PA 19087

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Latasha Nesmith, through her counsel, Koller Law LLC, brings this civil matter against Defendant, McBee Associates, Inc. for violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), as amended, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), as amended, and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"). In support thereof, Plaintiff avers as follows:

IDENTIFICATION OF THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is Latasha Nesmith (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), an adult individual who is domiciled in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at 2233 N 22nd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19132.

- 2. Defendant, McBee Associates, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant") is a provider of health consulting services with a location and corporate headquarters located at 997 Old Eagle School Road, Wayne, PA 19087.
- 3. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant employed managers, supervisors, agents, and employees who Plaintiff alleges had the authority to make decisions concerning Plaintiff's employment. In making said decisions, these individuals engaged in the pattern and practice of discriminatory treatment, which forms the basis of Plaintiff's allegations in the instant Complaint.
- At all times relevant hereto, Defendant employed managers, supervisors, agents, and employees who acted directly or indirectly in the interest of the employer. In so acting, these individuals engaged in the pattern and practice of discriminatory treatment, which forms the basis of Plaintiff's allegations in the instant Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated by reference herein as if the same were set forth at length.
- 6. The Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because the Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction and comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, thus satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 7. The Court may exercise original subject-matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of federal law.

- 8. The Court may also maintain supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims set forth herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) and Rule 18(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because they are sufficiently related to one or more claims within the Court's original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy.
- 9. Venue is properly laid in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b)(1) and 1931 (b)(2) because Defendant is located in and/or regularly conduct business in this judicial district and because the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.

EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

- 10. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 11. Plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedies under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended, and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act.
- 12. Plaintiff timely filed a Complaint of Discrimination ("Complaint") with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") alleging gender, pregnancy, religious and disability discrimination and retaliation against Defendant.
- 13. The Complaint was assigned the Charge Number 530-2018-05289 and was dual filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission.
- 14. The EEOC issued Plaintiff a Dismissal and Notice of Rights ("Right to Sue") relative to the Charge and that Notice is dated January 8, 2020. Plaintiff received the notice by mail.
- 15. Prior to the filing of this action, Plaintiff notified the EEOC of her intent to proceed with a lawsuit in federal court.

- 16. Plaintiff filed the Complaint within ninety (90) days of her receipt of her Right to Sue in this matter.
- 17. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies as to the allegations of this Complaint.

MATERIAL FACTS

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 19. Plaintiff is Muslim.
- 20. On February 6, 2017, Defendant hired Plaintiff in the position of Clerical Support.
- 21. Plaintiff was well qualified for her position and performed well.
- 22. In or around July 2017, Plaintiff notified Christina Grenaldo, Manager, and Karen Williams, Human Resource Manager, that she was pregnant.
- 23. In October 2017, Plaintiff's physician at Thomas Jefferson University Hospital placed Plaintiff on the following medical restrictions: take short breaks, eat/drink when needed and for absences due to doctor's appointments.
- 24. Plaintiff gave her doctor's note requesting the reasonable accommodations to Ms. Williams.
- 25. After Plaintiff gave her doctor's note to Ms. Williams, Ms. Grenaldo began to nitpick her work product, complain to Plaintiff when she took breaks and told her that she was not allowed to go to Plaintiff's scheduled and required doctor's appointments.
- 26. Ms. Grenaldo also reprimanded Plaintiff for wearing a scarf on her head due to her religious beliefs.
- 27. However, Ms. Grenaldo did not reprimand Plaintiff's non-Muslim coworker who wore scarves.

- 28. In or around the beginning of January 2018, Plaintiff complained to Ms. Williams about how Ms. Grenaldo was treating her and that it was due to her pregnancy.
 - 29. Plaintiff also emailed Ms. Williams the support she had for that belief.
- 30. Approximately one (1) week later in January 2018, Ms. Williams terminated Plaintiff for alleged attendance, tardiness and performance issues.
- 31. Plaintiff did not have any attendance or tardiness issues and she had recently received a raise due to her performance in December 2017.
- 32. It is Plaintiff's position that she was discriminated against due to her religion in violation of Title VII. It is Plaintiff's position that she was discriminated against due to her pregnancy, denied a reasonable accommodation, retaliated against for requesting a reasonable accommodation and retaliated against for complaining about the aforementioned discrimination in violation of Title VII/PDA and the ADA.

COUNT I – RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 34. Plaintiff is a member of protected classes in that she is Muslim.
- 35. Plaintiff was qualified to perform the job for which she was hired.
- 36. Similarly situated persons outside of Plaintiff's protected classes were treated more favorably than Plaintiff.
- 37. Circumstances exist related to the above cited employment actions that give rise to an inference of discrimination.
- 38. No legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons exist for the above cited adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered.

- 39. The reasons cited by Defendant for the adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered are pretext for discrimination.
- 40. As a result of Defendant's unlawful religious discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered damages as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory and liquidated damages in addition to counsel fees and costs.

COUNT II – RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT

- 41. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 42. Plaintiff is a member of protected classes in that she is Muslim.
- 43. Plaintiff was qualified to perform the job for which she was hired.
- 44. Similarly situated persons outside of Plaintiff's protected classes were treated more favorably than Plaintiff.
- 45. Circumstances exist related to the above cited employment actions that give rise to an inference of discrimination.
- 46. No legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons exist for the above cited adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered.
- 47. The reasons cited by Defendant for the adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered are pretext for discrimination.
- 48. As a result of Defendant's unlawful religious discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered damages as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT III – GENDER DISCRIMINATION TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 50. Plaintiff is a member of protected classes in that she is female.
- 51. Plaintiff was qualified to perform the job for which she was hired.
- 52. Similarly situated persons outside of Plaintiff's protected classes were treated more favorably than Plaintiff.
- 53. Circumstances exist related to the above cited employment actions that give rise to an inference of discrimination.
- 54. No legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons exist for the above cited adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered.
- 55. The reasons cited by Defendant for the adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered are pretext for discrimination.
- 56. As a result of Defendant's unlawful gender discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered damages as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory and liquidated damages in addition to counsel fees and costs.

COUNT IV – GENDER DISCRIMINATION PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT

- 57. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 58. Plaintiff is a member of protected classes in that she is female.
- 59. Plaintiff was qualified to perform the job for which she was hired.
- 60. Similarly situated persons outside of Plaintiff's protected classes were treated more favorably than Plaintiff.

- 61. Circumstances exist related to the above cited employment actions that give rise to an inference of discrimination.
- 62. No legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons exist for the above cited adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered.
- 63. The reasons cited by Defendant for the adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered are pretext for discrimination.
- 64. As a result of Defendant's unlawful pregnancy discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered damages as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT V – PREGNANCY DISCRIMINATION PREGNANCY DISCRIMINATION ACT

- 65. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 66. Plaintiff was qualified to perform the job for which she was hired.
- 67. Plaintiff requested the reasonable accommodation.
- 68. With this reasonable accommodation, Plaintiff would be able to perform the essential functions of her position.
- 69. Plaintiff's requested accommodation was reasonable, and it would not impose an undue hardship on Defendant.
- 70. Defendant refused to provide Plaintiff with her requested accommodation.
- 71. Defendant failed to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff to determine whether Plaintiff could perform the essential functions of her position with or without a reasonable accommodation.

- 72. Circumstances exist related to the above cited adverse employment actions that give rise to an inference of discrimination.
- 73. No legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons exist for the above cited adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered.
 - 74. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her pregnancy.
 - 75. Defendant treated non-pregnant employees better than it treated Plaintiff.
- 76. As a result of Defendant's unlawful pregnancy discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered damages as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT VI – PREGNANCY DISCRIMINATION PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT

- 77. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 78. Plaintiff was qualified to perform the job for which she was hired.
- 79. Plaintiff requested the reasonable accommodation.
- 80. With this reasonable accommodation, Plaintiff would be able to perform the essential functions of her position.
- 81. Plaintiff's requested accommodation was reasonable, and it would not impose an undue hardship on Defendant.
 - 82. Defendant refused to provide Plaintiff with her requested accommodation.
- 83. Defendant failed to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff to determine whether Plaintiff could perform the essential functions of her position with or without a reasonable accommodation.
 - 84. Defendant failed to offer, or consider, any reasonable accommodation in lieu of termination.

- 85. Circumstances exist related to the above cited adverse employment actions that give rise to an inference of discrimination.
- 86. No legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons exist for the above cited adverse employment actions that Plaintiff suffered.
 - 87. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her pregnancy.
 - 88. Defendant treated non-pregnant employees better than it treated Plaintiff.
- 89. As a result of Defendant's unlawful pregnancy discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered damages as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, *infra*.

COUNT VII - FAILURE TO ACCOMMODATE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990, AS AMENDED

- 90. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference as though set forth in full.
- 91. Plaintiff is a qualified individual with a "disability" as that term is defined under the ADA because Plaintiff has, or had at all time relevant hereto, a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities, a record of such impairment, or being regarded as having such an impairment.
 - 92. At all relevant times, Defendant was aware of her disability.
- 93. Plaintiff's requested accommodation was reasonable, and it would not impose an undue hardship on Defendant.
 - 94. Defendant refused to grant Plaintiff an accommodation.
- 95. Defendant failed to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff regarding her disability or any necessary accommodation.
 - 96. Instead, Defendant terminated Plaintiff employment.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, infra.

COUNT VIII - FAILURE TO ACCOMMODATE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT

- 97. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference as though set forth in full.
- 98. Plaintiff is a qualified individual with a "disability" as that term is defined under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act because Plaintiff has, or had at all time relevant hereto, a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities, a record of such impairment, or being regarded as having such an impairment.
 - 99. At all relevant times, Defendant was aware of her disability.
- 100. Plaintiff's requested accommodation was reasonable, and it would not impose an undue hardship on Defendant.
 - 101. Defendant refused to grant Plaintiff an accommodation.
- 102. Defendant failed to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff regarding her disability or any necessary accommodation.
 - 103. Instead, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, infra.

COUNT IX – RETALIATION TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED

- 104. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 105. Plaintiff engaged in activity protected by Title VII when she requested an accommodation and when she complained to Defendant about discriminatory comments.

Page 15 of 17

106. There exists a causal connection between Plaintiff's participation in the protected activity and the adverse employment action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, infra.

COUNT X – RETALIATION AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990, AS AMENDED

- 107. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 108. Plaintiff engaged in activity protected by Title VII when she requested an accommodation and when she complained to Defendant about discriminatory comments.
- 109. There exists a causal connection between Plaintiff's participation in the protected activity and the adverse employment action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, infra.

COUNT XI – RETALIATION PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT

- 110. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth more fully at length herein.
- 111. Plaintiff engaged in activity protected by the PHRA when she requested an accommodation and when she complained to Defendant about discriminatory comments.
- 112. There exists a causal connection between Plaintiff's participation in the protected activity and the adverse employment action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the damages set forth in the Prayer for Relief clause of this Complaint, infra.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Latasha Nesmith, requests that the Court grant her the following relief against Defendant, McBee Associates, Inc.:

- a) Damages for past and future monetary losses as a result of Defendant's wrongful termination;
- b) Compensatory damages;
- c) Punitive damages;
- d) Liquidated damages;
- e) Emotional pain and suffering;
- f) Reasonable attorneys' fees;
- g) Recoverable costs;
- h) Pre and post judgment interest;
- i) An allowance to compensate for negative tax consequences;
- j) Order Defendant to remove and expunge, or to cause to be removed and expunged, all negative, discriminatory, and/or defamatory memoranda and documentation from Plaintiff's record of employment, including, but not limited to, the pre-textual reasons cited for his adverse actions, disciplines, and termination; and
- k) Awarding any/all other extraordinary, equitable and/or injunctive relief as permitted by law, equity and the statutory provisions sued hereunder.

Respectfully Submitted,

KOLLER LAW LLC

Dated: April 10, 2020

BY: s/David M. Koller
David M. Koller, Esq. (90119)
Sarah R. Lavelle, Esq. (93383)
2043 Locust Street, Suite 1B
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(t) 215-545-8917
(f) 215-575-0826
davidk@kollerlawfirm.com
slavelle@kollerlawfirm.com

Counsel for Plaintiff